

LPA-739-2021 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

LPA-739-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.09.2021

Monika & another

...Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM-1873-LPA-2021

Prayer in this application is for placing on record representation
dated 23.08.2021 as Annexure A-1 and receipt as Annexure A-2.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed.
Annexures A-1 and A-2 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

LPA-739-2021

On 27.08.2021, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the appellants prays that
earlier inadvertently the representation, which was sent
by the appellants, was addressed to the Superintendent of
Police, District Gurgaon, whereas it should have been
sent to the Commissioner of Police, Gurgaon. Realizing
the said mistake, the appellants have now moved a

representation to the Commissioner of Police, Gurgaon, on 23.08.2021 and the same has been sent through courier. He prays for a short accommodation to place on record the said representation alongwith receipt of the courier.

Counsel for the State of Haryana, in the meanwhile, to seek instructions from the Commissioner of Police, Gurgaon, with regard to the factual position in the present case.

List on 01.09.2021.”

In pursuance thereto, status report was forwarded to this Court through email leading to the passing of the order on 01.09.2021, which reads as follows:-

“Learned counsel for the State has forwarded through e-mail the status report with regard to the threat perception as has been pointed out by the appellants as recorded in the order dated 27.08.2021 passed by this Court.

On the basis of the said status report, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, informs the Court that initially the missing report was filed by the father of appellant No. 1 and on coming to know of the fact that appellant No. 1 has married appellant No. 2, they have given statements to the effect that they have no objection to the marriage and they are not intending to pursue the said complaint and do not want to take any action against the appellants.

Counsel for the appellants, at this stage, has not joined the proceedings although initially, when the case was taken up for hearing, he had put in appearance.

In the interest of justice, hearing of the appeal is

deferred to 03.09.2021.”

In the light of the above order and status report, as submitted by the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, we are of the considered view that the threat perception as has been sought to be projected by the appellants have been taken care of. Now there is no such threat to the appellants. We, therefore, are of the opinion that the present appeal has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such However, it is made clear that in case of any subsequent threat to the lives and liberty of the appellants, they may approach the Competent Authority for redressal of the grievances in accordance with law.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

03.09.2021

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No