

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

261

**CRM-M-35077-2021
Decided on : 28.10.2021**

Vikas @ Vikas Madhan

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Sneha Jakhar, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 47, dated 31.03.2018, lodged under Section 67A of the IT Act, 2008 (later on added Sections 500, 509 of IPC), registered at Police Station Saha, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 17.02.2020 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 26th August, 2021 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 27th September, 2021, to get their statements recorded, regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC, Ambala, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between

the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the photocopies of the statements of the parties along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned JMIC, Ambala, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 28, 2021

J.Ram

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>