

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.114

CRWP No. 8119 of 2021

Date of Decision: 26.08.2021

Priyanka and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition, both the petitioners have approached this Court for seeking the relief of the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of the private respondents No.4 to 8, because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-5) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only

Mr. Aproorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case, in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, accepts the notice on behalf of

.....

these respondents.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioners, i.e. Annexure P-5 so far as it pertains to their prayer regarding the protection of their lives and liberty only and he submits that he does not press for relief as sought therein qua not registering any case at the instance of the family members of petitioner No.1.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the issuance of a direction to the afore-referred extent.

Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind, is hereby directed to look into the said representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-5) only to the extent of their threat perception qua their lives and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve the protection of their lives, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

26.08.2021
neetu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>