

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-16485-2021
Date of decision: 01.09.2021**

Ramesh Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Tejpal Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.
(Presence marked through video conference).

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner is qua not allowing him to participate in the current online transfer drive on the post of Veterinary Surgeon.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads that the petitioner was earlier posted at Government Veterinary Hospital, Hathwala, Panipat and thereafter he was transferred to North Delhi, Municipal Corporation, vide order dated 27.03.2019 (Annexure P-2) on deputation basis initially for a period of one year. Vide order dated 22.10.2019, petitioner was ordered to join back from deputation to Mobile Van, Faridabad against a vacant post.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that petitioner is entitled to participate in the current online transfer drive and give his options, as are being sought from all the eligible veterinary surgeons. And yet, petitioner is not being allowed to exercise his right to opt for the same.

4. On advance service, learned State counsel, joins proceedings and opposes the issuance of notice.

5. I have heard the rival contentions.
6. Transfer being matter of administrative exigency, this Court generally refrains to interfere and treads cautiously, unless it is a case of extreme hardship. The case in hand does not seem to be such so as to deserve any indulgence. Moreover, to transfer an official, or not to, is sole discretion of the employer based on the administrative exigencies. Not doing or doing so is not a punishment, but an integral part of service conditions.
6. No grounds to interfere are made out. Dismissed.

01.09.2021
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No