

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

109

RA-CW No.276 of 2021 in

CWP No.7232 of 2019

Date of Decision: December 03, 2021

Shilla Devi

....Review Applicant/Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G. S. SANDHAWALIA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Punit Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Tajinder Singh, Advocate for review applicant-petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J(Oral).

Counsel for the review applicant-petitioner has sought to raise an argument that the change of date of birth was at the instance of the employer and not requested by the employee and therefore, the basis of the judgment dated 16.07.2020 under review is not sustainable.

It has been put to the counsel that if there is any tangible material on record in the form of any unimpeachable evidence in the form of certificate from the Registrar, Births and Deaths to show that the year of birth is 1960 as claimed in contrast to the matriculation examination certificate, whereby the date of birth is shown as 03.08.1957.

Counsel has replied in the negative. In the absence of any such evidence on record, this Court is of the opinion that the finding recorded in

the judgment, whereby the review is sought cannot be said to be suffering from any error, which would warrant a re-look.

Accordingly, the present review application stands dismissed.

(G. S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

December 03, 2021
Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No