

**110(2) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(In virtual Court)

CR No.1699 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.08.2021

Manish Makkar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Nishtha

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Sumesh Gandhi, Advocate and
Mr. Amit Parashar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 06.08.2021 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Sirsa, vide which the application filed by the petitioner under Order 11 Rule 1 read with Section 151 CPC has been dismissed/disposed of.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wishes to withdraw the present revision petition, however, the petitioner be granted liberty to cross-examine the respondent-wife on the aspects qua which the interrogatories have been sought to be sent by the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, this needs no extra emphasis that the trial Court has itself observed in the impugned order that the petitioner shall have liberty to put the questions to the respondent-wife in her cross-examination on the issues involved in these proposed interrogatories. Therefore, it is obvious

that the petitioner would be permitted to avail his opportunity to cross-examine the respondent-wife qua the issues involved in the interrogatories, in accordance with law.

With the above observations, the present revision petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

26.08.2021
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No