

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16547 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION :- October 01, 2021

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Devender S. Punia, Advocate for the petitioner.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Petitioner Raj Kumar, working as Data Entry Operator in the office of SubDivision, Operation Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, District Bhiwani has brought the present writ petition against respondents Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hissar, Chief Engineer (Ops.), Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hissar, Superintending Engineer, 'OP' Circle, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Bhiwani, S.D.E. (Ops.) Sub Division Behal, District Bhiwani, X.E.N. Sub Urban Division, DHBVN, Bhiwani, Director, Employee State Insurance Corporation, NAC Manimajra, U.T. Chandigarh for issuance of direction to the respondents to grant him bonus under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 and policy decision dated 11.9.2014 and further to release the arrears of travelling allowance against the bill raised by the petitioner and to reimburse medical bills amounting to Rs.40,200/- incurred on treatment of son of petitioner.

According to the petitioner he had submitted representations

(Annexure P-4 and P-6) addressed to XEN Sub-Urban Division, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Bhiwani without evoking any response, as such he has brought the present writ petition.

Keeping in view the nature of the dispute between the parties as well as prayer made by the petitioner, for the purpose of enabling the respondents to consider the claim of petitioner and then to take appropriate action, if need be and further to avoid unnecessary litigation, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the representations of the petitioner in accordance with law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order and if some action in the matter is warranted then the needful be done in accordance with law. A speaking order in that regard be passed, which be conveyed to the petitioner.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

October 01, 2021

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No