

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CWP-17011-2019

Decided on : 24.03.2021

Nathi Bano

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present:- Mr. Shubham Saroha, Advocate for
Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2-HPSC.

G.S. Sandhawal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner in the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the impugned action/notice dated 15.06.2019 (Annexure P-10) issued by the respondents, whereby she was not called for interview for the post of Assistant Professor (College Cadre) in the subject of Hindi.

In compliance of earlier orders, result of the petitioner has been produced by the respondent No.2-Commission in a sealed cover, which has been opened. As per the said result, the petitioner has secured 59.14 marks out of 100 and 50% of the marks were to be counted. The same was assessed @ 29.57. In view of the interim order, she was provisionally interviewed and has got 25.5 marks, totalling the marks to 55.07 marks

Admittedly, the last selected candidate in the BCA Category has secured 57.72 marks. Thus, even on merits, the petitioner has failed to make the cut, if her case is considered in BCA Category.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17011-2019

-2-

Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that there is no need to further go in the issue and counsel is also not in a position to press the writ petition. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Result is returned to Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the respondent-HPSC.

MARCH 24, 2021
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No