

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29488-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.01.2021

(Heard through VC)

Joginder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Mahipal Singh Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 66 dated 11.06.2018 under Sections 323, 324, 325 34, IPC registered at Police Station Amargarh District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise.

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant -respondent No.2 that the petitioners on account of a dispute of land attacked him and respondent No. 3 and gave serious injuries to them. Now

with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malerkotla stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits the factum of compromise and submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petiti on is allowed and in FIR No. 66 dated 11.06.2018 under Sections 323, 324, 325, 34, IPC registered at Police Station Amargarh District Sangrur (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

19.01.2021

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Yes

Yes/No