

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35164-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.12.2021

Jaspal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this 2nd petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.157 dated 14.06.2020 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur; earlier one was dismissed as withdraw on 29.07.2021.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 20.09.2021: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner has primarily relied upon the order dated 03.08.2021 passed in CRM-M-18767-2021 and CRM-M-19180-2021, vide which Melo Kaur and Kailo Kaur have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail. It is submitted

that in the FIR, registered by complainant Darshan Singh, it is stated that his grandfather Kirpal Singh had filed a suit for recovery of Rs.4912/- against Makhan Singh in 1964 and during the recovery proceedings, 66 kanals 12 marlas of land was attached by the Revenue Officer. It is further stated that said entry of attachment continued till 2015-2016 and the same was changed by the Halqa Patwari and co-accused Melo Kaur and Kailo Kaur, being heirs of Makhan Singh, had sold the property to Bhagwan Singh and one Bhola Singh, who had further sold the same to Jagjit Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that since in view of changed circumstances that other accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail, the petitioner may also be granted the relief in the same terms. It is also submitted that the petitioner is now a retired person; he is an old man and being the Halqa Patwari, was not the beneficiary in any manner.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sat Parkash, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail

granted to the petitioner vide order dated 20.09.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

14.12.2021
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No