

251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8050-2021

Date of Decision: 06.09.2021

Parvinder Kumar and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Amninder Singh Cheema, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

[1] Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

[2] This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

[3] As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

[4] On 25th August, 2021, following order was passed:-

"The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab, appearing

on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 6.9.2021.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

[5] Learned State counsel has sent statements of petitioners and father of petitioner No. 2 recorded by Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Pathankot. It is stated therein that father of petitioner No.2 has no objection to the marriage solemnized and there is no threat perception.

[6] In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is no threat perception.

[7] The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

6th September, 2021

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No