

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.206

CRM-M-29524-2020

Date of decision: 18.01.2021

Ravi Kumar and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. R.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioners seek the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.52 dated 23.04.2020 registered under Sections 379, 149 and 188 IPC at Police Station Taragarh Pathankot, District Pathankot.

Briefly stated, the case of the prosecution is that the petitioners alongwith their co-accused harvested and sold the complainant's crop and thus committed offences under Sections 379, 149 and 188 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioners are involved; co-accused Varinder Kumar against whom there are similar allegations had earlier approached this Court through *CRM-M-12091-2020* has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court and that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioners have not only joined the investigation but have also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel submits that there is no other criminal case in which the petitioners are involved; under the interim orders passed

by this Court the petitioners have not only joined the investigation but have also fully co-operated with the investigating agency and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Keeping in view the totality of the above noticed facts especially the statement made by the learned State counsel that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 28.09.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 18, 2021
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No