

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(208-A)

CRM-M-29937-2020

Date of Decision : September 06, 2021

Anshul Dhankhar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.171 dated 15.03.2017 registered under Sections 302, 120-B and 34 IPC at Police Station Palam Vihar, District Gurugram.

It is averred in the petition that the petitioner has wrongly been involved in the present case and the petitioner is behind the bars for the last more than four and half years and therefore, he be granted the benefit of regular bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the trial is at the fag end and there are 09 other cases pending against the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondent-State further submits that the release of the petitioner, at this stage, is likely to influence the trial.

No one appeared on behalf of the petitioner to argue the present petition.

As per the allegations, the petitioner is the main accused, who was carrying a pistol and fired several shots to commit the murder of Rahul alias Rinku. Not only this, the antecedents of the petitioner are such that the petitioner has 09 other FIRs pending against him involving serious allegations. This Court while granting bail has to keep in mind whether, the release of an accused will cause any impact upon the trial and will be safe for the general public or not.

In the present case, the trial, which is at fag end, might be influenced, in case, the petitioner is granted bail. Further, the antecedents of the petitioner show that the petitioner is a habitual offender and has indulged in same illegal activities while on bail. No ground is made out to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

Dismissed.

It is made clear that nothing observed herein shall be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

September 06, 2021

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No