

CRM-M-29618-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29618-2020

Date of decision: 01.12.2021

Dheeru

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate and
Mr. Pranshul Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.326 dated 19.09.2019, registered at Police Station Civil Line Sonapat, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there are allegation against the petitioner that an amount of Rs.3,45,000/- deposited in his bank account, had further been handed over by him to his maternal uncle-Akilesh Tiwari. He further contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 13.01.2020; that no amount has been recovered from the petitioner; that the charges stand framed and that some of the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that to show his *bona fide*, the petitioner is ready to deposit Rs.3,45,000/- with the trial Court, by way of a demand draft, within 10 days from today, which of course may be handed over to the complainant if the prosecution proves the guilt against the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer

CRM-M-29618-2020

made in the present petition and submits that there are serious allegations against the petitioner; that some of the co-accused had posed themselves as Diplomats of UN Office Delhi; that there is a gang operating throughout the country, which is duping of the gullible persons.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 13.01.2020. As stated above, to show his *bona fide*, the petitioner is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.3,45,000/- with the trial Court. Out of 15 prosecution witnesses, 05 witnesses have been examined. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate, subject to him depositing the amount of Rs.3,45,000/- with the trial Court, by way of demand draft, within 10 days from today.

It is made clear that on receiving the demand draft, the trial Court would deposit the same in a fixed deposit with a nationalized bank, fetching the maximum rate of interest.

It is further made clear that the further course of action in respect of the said amount shall abide by the outcome of the trial.

01.12.2021

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No