

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(202-A)

**CRM No. M-29813 of 2020
Date of Decision : 21.01.2021**

Kusum Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitin Mitto, Advocate for
Mr. Satnam Singh Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the reply filed and the events, which have taken place subsequent to the filing of the present petition, he has instructions not to press this petition any further, at this stage. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that in case, petitioner has any grievance in future qua the conduct of the investigation, she be given liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Present petition is disposed of having been not pressed at this stage, with liberty, as prayed for.

January 21, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No