

206-2

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34708-2021(O&M)

Date of decision: 15.12.2021

Sukhvir Singh @ Sukha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Satwant Singh Rangi, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)

The matter is taken up through the medium of video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injury attributed to the accused is on the little finger and declared to be grievous on 07.06.2021. Accordingly, an offence under Section 326 IPC was added subsequently *qua* the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner further contends that the injury was caused only with a stick which otherwise cannot be taken to be grievous.

At the same time, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant opposes and contends that the petitioner should amend the present petition inasmuch as under Section 201 IPC has also been added.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Baljinder Singh, submits that Section 201 IPC has not been added so far. He further submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and not required for any custodial interrogation.

In view of the aforesaid facts, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 02.09.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

December 15th, 2021
ps-I

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No