

206-3

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34714-2021(O&M)

Date of decision: 15.12.2021

Arshpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Satwant Singh Rangi, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)

The matter is taken up through the medium of video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been attributed an injury given with an iron rod on the left side of forehead of the complainant as well as on the right knee and has been registered to face prosecution under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506 and 34 IPC. He further contends that there are major contradictions in the statement made by the complainant, which creates doubt and the whole story as concocted one.

A perusal of MLR placed on record as Annexure P-2 dated 06/11.06.2021, it is apparent that injuries so alleged *qua* the petitioner have been declared to be simple in nature.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Baljinder Singh, submits that in pursuance to order dated 02.09.2021, the petitioner has joined investigation and not required for any custodial interrogation, at this stage.

In view of the aforesaid facts, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 02.09.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

December 15th, 2021
ps-I

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No