

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.34754 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 01.12.2021

Punit Yadav

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Anika Mehra, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.96 dated 01.04.2016, registered under Sections 406/420 IPC at
Police Station City Rewari, District Rewari.

The operative part of the order dated 09.09.2021, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is
reproduced as under:-

*“....Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits
that he is prepared to compromise the dispute with the
complainants and the victims by returning the amount,
which as per the allegations in the FIR have been
entrusted to him, though he has denied the allegations.*

*Notice of motion to the newly impleaded
respondents.*

*Ms. Anika Mehra, Advocate has put in appearance
on behalf of respondent No.2. She submits that respondent
No.2 has received back the amount of Rs.2 lacs from the*

petitioner.

Let the remaining respondents be served for 03.11.2021.

Petitioner is directed to deposit the remaining amount before the next date, by way of bank draft in the name of respondents No.3 to 5 according to their share in the FIR, with the Investigating Officer, who shall disburse the drafts to the said respondents.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 09.09.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from ASI Satish Yadav, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner has already paid the amount Rs.2.00 lacs to the complainant and he is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.09.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

01.12.2021

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No