

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.218

CRM-M-29487-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.01.2021

Darshna and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Anshumaan Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioners seek the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.467 dated 25.08.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 342, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Azad Nagar, Hisar District Hisar, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner No.1 who is a 60 years old lady and petitioner No.2 who is a 32 years old widow have been falsely implicated in this case; there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioners; even if the contents of the FIR are taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, no injury is attributed to either of the petitioners; petitioner No.2 also sustained injuries out of self-defence when the injured entered the house and molested her; co-accused Udaybeer Singh and Jitender Kumar have been granted anticipatory bail by the Trial Court and that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioners have not only joined the investigation but have also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel submits that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioners have not only joined the investigation but have also fully co-operated with the investigating agency and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above noticed facts especially the statement made by the learned State counsel that the petitioners have joined the investigation and that their custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 24.09.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 25, 2021
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No