

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16450-2021

Date of decision : 20.09.2021

Surinder Kumar and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to step-up pay of the petitioners at par with respondent No.10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from his clients, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 21.05.2021 (P-8) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 9. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 21.05.2021 (P-8), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from today.

20.09.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No