

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29770 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION :- January 21, 2021

Shyama Bai

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Reply filed by the State counsel be taken on record.

Learned State counsel has contended that as a result of investigation carried out it has transpired that petitioner Shyama Bai has not played any role in the incident, as such she has been found to be innocent and is not required for investigation. Learned State counsel has pointed out that this has been specifically pleaded in para No. 7 of the reply filed on behalf of the official respondents.

It being so, the present petition for grant of pre-arrest bail has become infructuous and is dismissed accordingly.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

January 21, 2021

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No