

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16396-2021

Date of decision:-24.8.2021

Sunil Dhull and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Sushil Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Sunil Dhull and 72 others working as Auction
Recorders with Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board – respondent
No.2 having brought the present civil writ petition seeking quashing of
impugned list of Auction Recorders dated 16.8.2021 (Annexure P4)
issued by Chief Administrator of Haryana State Agricultural Marketing
Board, Panchkula – respondent No.2 vide which the Auction Recorders
have statedly been illegally included in the online transfer drive, in
complete violation of the online transfer policy and further craving for
quashing the impugned action of respondent No.2 besides directing
respondents to implement the online transfer policy of Auction Recorders
in letter and spirit etc.

According to the petitioners, they had submitted a
representation to the respondents for redressal of their grievances but to

no effect, as such the present writ petition.

Learned State counsel has contended that the writ is premature since as per the schedule fixed the date for displaying final date and score to the concerned employee was 10.8.2021, the cut off date for submitting objection to the DDO for correction in Service record was 17.8.2021, last date of DDO to rectify/resolve the objection raised was 26.8.2021, cut off date for filling online choice was 27.8.2021 to 31.8.2021 and date for freezing online choices was 1.9.2021. He has further contended that the petitioners themselves had not submitted any representation and the representation said to have been sent, copy Annexure P7 was by President, HSMA Board Sanghrash Samiti.

Under the circumstances, I find that an opportunity is to be afforded to the respondents to consider the claim of petitioners and to take any remedial action, if so required, so as to avoid unnecessary litigation. Therefore, the present civil writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to look into the grievances of the petitioners and to take necessary action in the matter, if it is so warranted by facts and circumstances of the case. A speaking order in that regard be passed within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

24.8.2021
Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No