

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 207)

CRM-M No. 26879 of 2019

Date of decision : 18.11.2021

Rajdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.39 dated 16.03.2019 registered
under Section 420 IPC at Police Station City Sunam, district Sangrur.

The petitioner is being prosecuted for having duped the
complainants and five other persons for an amount of more than Rs.13 lakhs
on the false pretext of sending them to Spain. Instead of sending the
complainants and the said other persons to Spain, the petitioner sent them to
some other country where they were harassed and later deported.

On 09.07.2019, after recording the submission on behalf of the
petitioner, that he was ready and willing to return Rs.2 lakhs to the
complainants, this Court issued notice and granted the petitioner ad interim
bail. However, the aforesaid amount of Rs.2 lakhs was not deposited till
25.08.2021 leading to the passing of the following order :-

“Heard through video conferencing.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 03.10.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner shall deposit Demand Drafts of Rs.1 lac each in the name of both the complainants with the Investigating Officer before the next date of hearing.

List on 18.11.2021.

Interim order to continue.

In case, the petitioner does not deposit the Drafts before the next date of hearing, the interim protection granted to him shall liable to be vacated.”

As per the afore quoted order on a submission made on behalf of the petitioner he was granted last opportunity to bring the demand drafts of Rs.1 lakh each in the name of both the complainants but even today no demand drafts have been produced. Rather, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not in a position to make any payment to the complainants.

In view of the above conduct of the petitioner; in terms of the conditional order passed by this Court on 25.08.2021; because the petitioner is alleged to have defrauded several persons for lakhs of rupees and because the petitioner is admittedly involved in another case of similar nature, no ground is made out to grant him anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

18.11.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No