

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

121

CRWP-8003-2021.

Date of Decision: 25.08.2021.

Sunita

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate for petitioner.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been moved by petitioner for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 protect the life and liberty of Pawan Kumar (husband of petitioner) at the hands of respondents No.3 to 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that grievance of the petitioner would be satisfied in case the instant petition is treated as representation on behalf of the petitioner and if the same is ordered to be disposed of by respondent No.2-Additional Director General of Police (Prisons), Punjab, Sector 17-A, Chandigarh, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Complete copy of paper book has been supplied to him.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Additional Director General of Police (Prisons), Punjab, Sector 17-A, Chandigarh, to treat the instant petition as representation and to look into and decide the same in accordance with law by passing speaking order and that too within six weeks positively from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

25.08.2021
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No