

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-29406 of 2020
Date of decision:20.08.2021

Amit

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T.Chandigarh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashu Mohan Punchhi, Public Prosecutor with
Mr. Anupam Bansal, Additional Public Prosecutor
for the respondent-U.T.Chandigarh.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.246 dated 19.12.2019 registered under Sections 302, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station West Sector 11, District Chandigarh (Annexure P-2).

During the course of hearing, it has transpired that though the charge has been framed but the prosecution evidence is yet to start.

Since the statement of complainant/eye-witness, who is the most crucial witness is yet to be recorded, hearing of the petition seeking

bail by accused is not warranted, at this stage.

Counsel for the petitioner in all fairness seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to file a fresh one before the trial Court after the testimony of the complainant has been recorded.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

August 20, 2021
savita

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>