

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Through video conferencing)

CWP No.16750 of 2021

Date of Decision: 29.11.2021

ASHOK KAUSHIK

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Vide order dated 31.08.2021, learned State counsel sought time to have instructions with regard to the averments made in the writ petition.

The present petition has been filed for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the letter/order dated 02.07.2021 issued by the Deputy Director (Admin-S.S.)/respondent No.2, whereby the committee was constituted and the said committee was obligated to give recommendations to the Director for reinstating/re-engaging respondent No.5 in service.

Feeling aggrieved against such contemplation, the petitioner even filed a representation to the Deputy Director, NHM, Haryana on 09.08.2021 before filing the present writ petition.

Today, learned State counsel submits that the committee constituted vide order dated 02.07.2021 has not deliberated upon any such recommendation to propose re-engagement of respondent No.5. Learned State counsel further submits that the present writ petition has been filed purely on unfounded apprehension.

Faced with the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

November 29, 2021

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No