

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(212-2)

CRM-M-29306-2020

Date of decision: 20.04.2021

Kuldeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 seeking Anticipatory Bail in case FIR No. 140 dated 07.05.2020, registered under Sections 384, 465, 467, 468, 471, 473, 489, 120-B and 482 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 and Sections 18 & 19 of the Unlawful Activities Amendment Order Act 04 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, Kapurthala.

During the course of arguments, State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Daljinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has been declared as a Proclaimed Offender. Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***“Lavesh Versus State (NCT of Delhi)” 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 240***, has held that when an accused is absconding and has been declared as a proclaimed offender, there is no question of granting him anticipatory bail.

Faced with this legal position, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition.

Permitted to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

20.04.2021
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No