

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-15256-2020

Date of decision: 11.02.2021

MANISHA YADAV

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 12.09.2020, three extension Lecturers were relieved on the ground that they are not eligible, however, the respondents are retaining Lecturers named at item No.1 and 3.

A Division Bench of this Court has already upheld the policy guidelines issued on 04.03.2020. In the aforesaid policy guidelines, it has been laid down that only eligible extension lecturers would be retained. Reference in this regard can be made to the judgment passed by the Division Bench in **Suman Devi VS. State of Haryana and ors., CWP No.6968 of 2020, decided on 22.09.2020.**

Be that as it may. Once there is no dispute that the petitioner is not eligible then the petitioner cannot claim her re-induction as a matter of right. The petitioner cannot claim parity in the negative sense.

In view thereof, no ground to issue the writ is made out.

Dismissed.

11.02.2021

ashok

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No