

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34388-2021
DECIDED ON: 31st AUGUST, 2021**

ARSHDEEP SINGH @ DEEP

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. PBS Goraya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

[1] The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

[2] This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 356, dated 31st October, 2020, under Sections 379-B, 473, 411 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar.

[3] As per the contents of the FIR, on 31.10.2020 Sukhdev Singh-complainant employee of Radiate Cash Management Company Ltd. had gone for depositing cash with Canara Bank, Rayya. He could not deposit the cash with the bank as it was a bank holiday. He was returning home on his motorcycle, near Wadala river five people riding on two motorcycles with covered face came and after pushing the complainant snatched the bag. There was cash of ₹4,97,092/-, aadhar card, bank passbook and other documents. During investigation, Karanbir Singh @ Baba, Jashandeep

Singh @ Jashan, Rajinder Pal Singh @ Rupa, Jodhbir Singh @ Jodha, Gagandeep Singh and petitioner were nominated and different amount of money was recovered from them.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a case of false implication, the money recovered was planted. The complainant has been declared hostile. There is no eye-witness in the case. Petitioner is not having any other criminal case. He relies on the fact that co-accused have been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 12th August, 2021.

[5] Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail. However, on instructions, she submits, that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

[6] Without going into the merits of the case, considering the facts and circumstances in totality, especially that co-accused are on bail, investigation is complete and conclusion of trial is likely to take time, petitioner is granted bail on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[7] The petition is allowed.

[8] It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

31st AUGUST, 2021

sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>