

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 15104 of 2020

Date of Decision: March 05, 2021

Dalbir

.....Petitioner

versus

Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner has challenged orders dated 05.02.2015 and 30.07.2020 passed by the District Magistrate, Sonipat as well as Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak respectively. Vide order dated 05.02.2015 the arms licence of the petitioner was revoked and appeal was dismissed vide order dated 30.07.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that all the family members of the petitioner were named as accused in FIR No. 185 dated 28.10.2011 and FIR No. 187 also dated 28.10.2011. On the said basis, show cause notice dated 23.12.2011 was issued to all of them for revocation of their respective arms licences. Thereafter, all the licences were revoked by the District Magistrate. Four of them including the petitioner filed an appeal and only the appeal of the petitioner has been rejected. The appeals of other family members have been allowed and their arms licences have been renewed. Thus, the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak has acted in a discriminatory manner.

A perusal of the record shows that in the case of the petitioner the local police submitted a report dated 09.10.2013 recommending the non renewal of the arms licence of the petitioner on the ground that he was a bad element and that

record to indicate that a similar report was made in respect of other three family members whose licences were renewed upon their appeal being allowed. Thus, there is no substance in the plea of discrimination raised by learned counsel for the petitioner.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

March 05, 2021

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No