

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

LPA No.726 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 23rd, 2021

Simran Kaur and another

...Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate
for the appellants.

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM-1787-LPA-2021

Prayer in this application is for exemption from filing the typed and certified copies of the order dated 10.08.2021, Criminal Writ Petition and the Annexures attached with the same.

Application is allowed subject to just exceptions.

Exemption, as prayed for, is granted.

LPA No.726 of 2021

Challenge in this appeal is to the order dated 10.08.2021 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the writ petition preferred by the appellants under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 restraining the private respondents from causing any harm to the appellants and for hindering their lives, stands dismissed.

It is the contention of learned counsel for the appellants that both the appellants are major and had, therefore, wanted to marry each other. In

pursuance thereto, they proceeded to get married to each other, against which marriage the private respondents had always been and threatened them. After the marriage, they had submitted a representation dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. The said representation having although been posted through courier (Annexure P-6) did not elicit any response forcing the appellants to approach the Court by way of the writ petition which was listed on 10.08.2021. Counsel for the appellants asserts that till today the appellants have grave apprehension and threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents. He asserts that the appellants would be satisfied at this stage in case direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala-respondent No.2 and SHO, Police Station, Bhadson, District Patiala-respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) preferred by the appellants and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In the light of the prayer made by the counsel for the appellants, we dispose of the present appeal without commenting upon the merits of the case with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala-respondent No.2 and SHO, Police Station, Bhadson, District Patiala-respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) preferred by the appellants and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

It is made clear that this Court has not commented upon the merits or otherwise of the assertions of the appellants and the protection of life and liberty of appellants shall be ensured at the hands of the private respondents except in accordance with law.

CM-1788-LPA-2021

In the light of the decision of the main appeal, the present application is disposed of as infructuous.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

August 23rd, 2021
Puneet

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No