

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104)

CRM-M-34463 of 2021

Date of Decision: 27.09.2021

Sukhrampal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

On 27.08.2021, the following order had been passed:-

“Case heard via video conferencing.

Though this court would otherwise have seen no reason whatsoever to even continue with this petition, with a similar petition also having been dismissed and the allegation being that the loan amount as was sanctioned in favour of the complainant was subsequently transferred (or at least a large part of it was transferred), to the account of the petitioner himself, yet, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to take instructions as to whether the petitioner is willing to return the remaining loan amount to the complainant or not, as also the interest that would have accrued thereupon, as would be due from the complainant to the respondent-Bank.

On his request, adjourned to 14.09.2021.

It is made clear that there is no interim order operating in favour of the petitioner, at this stage.”

Thereafter, on 14.09.2021, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought an adjournment, with hearing in the matter having been adjourned till today.

Today, at the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to

104) CRM-M-34463 of 2021

-2-

withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

27.09.2021
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No