

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**108**

**CRM-31494-2021 in  
CRM-M-34994-2021  
Date of Decision: 01.10.2021**

Meena Kumari

....Applicant/Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

----

**Present:** Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate for applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)**

**CRM-31494-2021**

This application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for preponing the date of hearing of the main petition, which is already fixed for 26.10.2021.

Notice of application.

At the asking of Court, learned State counsel accepts notice and stated that he has no objection if application is allowed.

In view of the grounds mentioned in the application and no objection by learned State counsel, hearing of the main petition is preponed and is taken on Board today itself.

CRM is allowed.

**Main Case**

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail has been moved by petitioner-**Meena Kumari** in case FIR No.47 dated 31.01.2020 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that version, as alleged in the FIR, is totally concocted one and there is no iota of truth therein. He further submits that allegedly petitioner along with co-accused duped the complainant to the tune of Rs.24,11,000/- on the pretext of sending students abroad. He further submits that petitioner is languishing in custody since 30.05.2021 and she is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose as after completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (*Challan*) has already been presented in Court on 23.07.2021. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and she may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the contents of petition.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 30.05.2021; that

petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that *Challan* has already been presented in Court and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, she deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Meena Kumari** is allowed and she is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Fatehabad, as the case may be.

**(LALIT BATRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**01.10.2021**

*Varinder Prashad*

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No