

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.127

CRWP No.7905 of 2021

Date of Decision: 20th August, 2021.

Sanovar & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms. Vandana Sharma, Advocate along-with
Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, both the petitioners seek the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-4) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in

pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restrict their prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioners, i.e. Annexure P-4.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

It is worthwhile to mention here that as specifically averred in para No.16 of the petition, petitioner No.2 has contracted the second marriage.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the intent of the fundamental right enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India which ensures the protection of life and liberty to the citizens as well as the above-discussed limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the validity and legality of the marriage as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mewat, is hereby directed to look into the said representation(Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is further clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any proceedings already initiated or intended/contemplated to be initiated by the competent authority/person

against them on account of their said marriage and permissible under any relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

20.08.2021
mani

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No