

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16214 of 2021.

Date of Decision: 01.11.2021.

Sanjeev Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to count the entire daily wage services of petitioners rendered before regularization as qualifying service for the purpose of pay fixation, proficiency step up, pensionary and retiral benefits.

After arguing for some time, learned Counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, states that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case legal notice dated 09.07.2021 (P-3) is considered and decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to the aforesaid limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present through Video-Conference, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.3-Director, Hospitality Department, Punjab, to consider and decide

the aforesaid legal notice (P-3) in accordance with law by passing speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

01.11.2021.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

SN

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No