

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-33972-2021

Date of decision : 22.09.2021.

Shalu Arora @ Priya Arora

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0044 dated 21.02.2021, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, besides Section 24 of the Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Division No. 3, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is the outcome of a monetary dispute. The matter has been compromised for a sum of ₹7,00,000/- out of which an amount of ₹4,50,000/- has already been paid by the petitioner and the remaining amount of ₹2,50,000/- will be paid within a month. He also contends that a petition bearing CRM-M No.19816 of 2021 for quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise has been filed wherein notice has been issued.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that the matter between the parties has been compromised.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the matter has been compromised, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, the petitioner is directed to appear before the investigating officer within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on his doing so, she shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer till submission of report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.' - for short). The petitioner shall continue to join investigation and shall furnish an undertaking that she shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. Thereafter, he will be permitted to furnish regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 22, 2021

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No