

232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16097-2021

Date of Decision : 17.12.2021

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. G.S. Gopera, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Certiorari for quashing order Annexure P/7 dated 10.08.2021, passed by respondent No.4, suspending the petitioner for allegedly showing resentment against senior officers. Prayer is also for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to hold a fact finding enquiry in a fair and impartial manner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that prayer No.1 no longer survives as the order of suspension has been revoked and the petitioner reinstated in service. As regards prayer No.2, learned counsel prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars.

3. The same is not opposed to by the learned State Counsel.

4. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as

statement of learned counsel for the parties, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous qua prayer No.1 while qua prayer No.2, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition with better particulars, in accordance with law.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

17.12.2021

rajesh

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable         | : | Yes/No |