

[247] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16162-2021

Date of Decision : 16.12.2021

Ravinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. G.S. Gopera, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing orders, Annexure P/6 dated 10.08.2021 passed by respondent No.4 suspending the petitioner for allegedly showing resentment against his senior officer. Prayer is also for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to hold a fact finding enquiry in a transparent, fair and impartial manner.

[2] At the outset learned counsel for the petitioner states that the claim qua first prayer has become infructuous on account of suspension of the petitioner having been revoked and the petitioner reinstated while qua second prayer, learned counsel prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars.

[3] The same is not opposed to by learned State counsel.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the

parties, the instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous qua the first prayer while qua the second prayer, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.12.2021
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>