

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

**CRWP No. 7909 of 2021
Date of decision :20.08.2021**

Aafreen Khatun @ Asha and Anr.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Chandana, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have decided to marry with each other. They approached the private respondents in this regard to seek their blessings, but they refused to allow them to marry each other. Now, they are living in a live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. Therefore, they have submitted a representation dated 17.08.2021 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners are living in a constant danger as they have every apprehension that respondents No. 4 to 6 would catch them and carry out their threats and

might go to the extent of even committing their murder. The petitioners are, therefore, running from pillar to post for protection of their life and liberty.

In support of his contentions, learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in '**Nandakumar and another Vs. State of Kerala and others'** 2018(2) RCR (Civil) 899; and **Indra Sarma Vs. V.K.V. Sarma'** 2013(15) SCC 755.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Article 21 of the Constitution stipulates protection of life and liberty to every citizen and that no person shall be deprived of his life and personal liberty except according to procedure established by law.

It is the bounden duty of the State as per the Constitutional obligations cast upon it to protect the life and liberty of every citizen.

In view of the above discussion, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, to decide the representation dated 17.08.2021 (Annexure P-3), moved by the petitioners in accordance with law and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

20.08.2021

Rajeev (rvs)

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No