

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-34018-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.12.2021

Ram Kishan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Parkash.

Mr. Vikas B. Godara, Advocate,
for the complainant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

CRM-40501-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed
and the compromise dated 23.10.2021 is taken on record as
Annexure P-5, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-34018-2021

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.147 dated 14.03.2021 at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak, under Sections 323/324/452/506 IPC (while Section 307 IPC added later on, Section 452 IPC stands deleted).

2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Angoori Devi, wherein it is alleged that on 13.03.2021 at about 8.30 PM, when she was present at her house, her brother-in-law Ram Kishan arrived there and hurled filthy abuses. When the complainant objected to the same, said Ram Kishan brought an axe from his house and inflicted blows with the same to the complainant on her left hand and ribs repeatedly i.e. 5/6 times.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner and the complainant are closely related and that now the dispute has been resolved amongst the parties and a compromise (Annexure P-5) has been effected.
4. Learned State counsel has feigned ignorance about the said compromise and has opposed the application while stating that since grievous injuries have been inflicted by the petitioner with an axe, no case for grant of bail is made out. He has, however, informed that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.
5. Mr. Vikas B. Godara, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has endorsed the factum of compromise and has categorically stated that he has no objection in case the petitioner is granted bail.
6. I have heard the submissions addressed before this Court.
7. Keeping in view the fact that the matter is stated to have been amicably resolved amongst the parties and the petitioner in any case has been behind bars since the last about 6 months and is not stated to be involved in any other case, further detention of the

petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial will take some time. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

06.12.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**