

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

267

CRM-M-34089-2021

Date of decision : 05.10.2021.

Kirandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sourabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.84 dated 12.06.2021, under Section 295 IPC registered at Police Station Division E, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, on the basis of compromise dated 20.07.2021 (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was result of a misunderstanding between the parties who are closely related to each other. It was alleged in the FIR that turban of the complainant had been removed by the petitioner. He also contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 23.08.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar dated 07.09.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The FIR is outcome of a misunderstanding between the parties who are closely related to each other. The petitioner is not involved in any other case and with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.84 dated 12.06.2021, under Section 295 IPC registered at Police Station Division E, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 05, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No