

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(220)

CRM-M-34220-2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.08.2021

Raman Arora

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ.**

Present:- Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

Complainant in person with Mr. Manvinder Sidhu,  
Advocate.

\*\*\*

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)**

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.76 dated 2.3.2021 under sections 307, 323, 498-A, 506 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, PGIMS, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

The present FIR was got registered by the complainant wife, wherein besides the other allegations, the allegation of hitting her with the hammer was also alleged. Resultantly, along with Section 498-A I.P.C the offence under Section 307 I.P.C was also added. Petitioner was arrested on 2.3.2021 and he is behind bars since then. He approached learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak for the grant of anticipatory bail but the same was declined vide order dated 9.8.2021. Aggrieved by the same, petitioner approached this Court for the same relief by way of filing CRM-M-14056-

2021 and this Court vide order dated 16.7.2021 dismissed the petition as withdrawn.

This is the second petition filed by the petitioner seeking the same relief on the ground that this is a matrimonial dispute and he has resolved the differences with the complainant-wife and a compromise has been arrived at between them. The complainant is present in person with her counsel and has joined the proceedings through virtual mode. I have interacted with the complainant and on my interaction I am convinced that the complainant has entered into compromise with the petitioner as she submits that she wants to resolve this matter with her husband and there is no compulsion or pressure on her of any kind. She has candidly accepted that compromise has been arrived at and she would have no objection, if, the present petition is allowed.

Learned State counsel informs the Court that investigation is complete and charges have been framed.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having interacted with the complainant, I am of the considered view that the present matter is a matrimonial dispute, wherein parties have amicably resolved their differences, the court should consider the prayer made by the parties and provide opportunity to them to settle their matrimonial life.

In the totality of circumstances and without making any observations on merits, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. Nothing stated herein shall be treated as an expression on the merits of the case. It has also been made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the complainant would be at liberty to get the bail cancelled, if, so desired.

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**27.08.2021**

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether Reportable: Yes/No