

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

**CRM-M-35170-2021
Date of Decision : 02.11.2021**

Jasmeen Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present : Mr. K.B. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to the official respondents to take legal action against private respondent No.4 and to register FIR against him.

Short reply by way of affidavit dated 25.09.2021 of Sh. Safiuddin, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Women Safety, District Faridabad on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed in the Registry which is taken on record. Paras 2 to 5 of the said reply reads as under:-

“2. That the petitioners filed a representation 09.08.2021 (Annexure P-4) to the police for taking action against Tarsem Singh respondent No.4, which was received at Police Station on 03.09.2021. However, the case FIR No.192 dated 28.08.2021 had already been registered against the respondent No.4.

3. That a police case bearing FIR No.192 dated 28.08.2021 under Section 323, 354A, 506 IPC was registered at Women Police Station NIT Faridabad, on the basis of a statement made by Gunnal petitioner No.2.

4. That the accused Tarsem Singh respondent No.4 has been arrested on 09.09.2021 in case FIR No.192/2021. Now the present case is fixed on 07.10.2021 before the Ld. Trial Court at Faridabad. The accused is confined in judicial custody.

5. That a case FIR No.192 dated 28.08.2021 has already been registered against the respondent No.4 as prayed for by the petitioners in the present petition. The investigation of the case is in progress. The challan shall be submitted against the respondent No.4 soon. Therefore, the petition of the petitioners is not maintainable in the present circumstances and the same deserve dismissal being infructuous.”

In view of reply filed by the respondent-State, no action is required to be taken on the present petition which is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

02.11.2021
Kothiyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No