

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7825-2021.
Date of Decision:-19.08.2021.

Balvir Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner with respect to which, the petitioner has given representation dated 06.08.2021 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga.

A perusal of the representation (Annexure P-3) would show that the grievance of the petitioner is with respect to non-registration of a case against Jagdeep Singh @ Deepa and Satnam and also against the Station House Officer (SHO). However, nothing has been shown to say that at the present moment, there is any apprehension of threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

After arguing for some time and faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others**, reported as **2008 (2) SCC 409** and in **M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another**, **Criminal Appeal No.102 of 2011**, decided on 20.03.2020, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedies, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

It is made clear that withdrawal of this petition would not come in the way of the petitioner to approach this Court in case of any genuine apprehension of threat to her life and liberty in future.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 19, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.