

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16479-2021

Date of decision:-25.8.2021

Khajan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Deepak Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Khajan Singh has filed the instant civil writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India against respondents i.e. State of Haryana through its Chief Secretary, Haryana, Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, the Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula and Executive Officer, office of Municipal Corporation, Panchkula craving for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to promote the petitioner to the post of Cattle Pounder Mohrar and to decide his representation/legal notice dated 4.1.2021, copy Annexure P5 in that regard.

According to the petitioner, he has been performing duties as Cattle Pounder Mohrar since the year 1992 but is not being granted benefit of that post. He had service a legal notice dated 4.1.2021, copy

Annexure P5 upon respondents through his counsel but no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondents to consider the representation/legal notice Annexure P5 of the petitioner and then to take the necessary action in the matter.

Learned State counsel submits that the respondents would abide by any such order passed by this Court.

Under the circumstances, the present civil writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to look into the representation/legal notice of the petitioner, copy Annexure P5 and to take necessary action in the matter, in accordance with law, if it is so warranted by facts and circumstances of the case. A speaking order in that regard be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

In case the petitioner still feels dissatisfied after disposal of the representation by respondents, then he may take recourse to the legal remedy again in accordance with law.

25.8.2021
Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No