

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 33671 of 2021
Date of decision: 19.08.2021**

Dalip Sharma Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No. 1.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking cancellation of the bail granted to the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the anticipatory bail has been granted to the private respondents without looking into the gravity of the allegations.

Heard.

It is manifest that the petitioner is an accused in FIR No. 283 dated 18.09.2017, under Sections 307, 323, 34, 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala, which was lodged by respondent No.3, who is the wife of the petitioner. After registration of the aforementioned case, the petitioner had got the instant FIR registered after four years against his wife and her relatives. It is apparent that the instant FIR is a counter-blast to the FIR lodged by

respondent No. 3 against the petitioner. In these circumstances, I do not find any manifest error in the order granting anticipatory bail to the private respondents.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

19.08.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No