

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7910-2021

Date of decision : 20.08.2021

Geeta and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate for the petitioners.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present Criminal Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have given a representation dated 27.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2. It is further the case of the petitioners that petitioner No.1 is married to petitioner No.2 and is residing in their family since 10.11.2020. It has further been stated that petitioner No.1 is pregnant with the child of petitioner No.2 and they are living happily. It has been stated that some goons are threatening the petitioners at the behest of mother of petitioner No.1 namely Smt. Nanhi Devi and they have been receiving the threatening calls.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana,

appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner of Police, looks into the representation dated 27.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner of Police to look into the representation dated 27.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) and after making necessary enquiries, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioners and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation.

In view of what has been observed above, the present petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any case.

20.08.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No