

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CRM-M-33566-2021
Decided on : 18.08.2021**

Maninder Sharma

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and to inquire into the matter and also to take legal action against respondents No.4 to 9, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that vide application dated 06.04.2021 (Annexure P-1), made to respondent No.3 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Patiala, wherein, the complainant had made specific allegations against the private respondents, however, the authorities concerned have been sitting over the matter and have failed to initiate any action in the said regard.

Learned counsel has submitted that the official respondents are under legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on her representation, which clearly discloses the commission of cognizable offences.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. Copy of the petition has already been

supplied to the State through e-mail.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondent No.3 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to look into the grievance of the petitioner as stated in the application/complaint dated 06th April, 2021 (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action.

In the wake of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Patiala, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner as stated in the application/complaint dated 06th April, 2021 (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if the respondent does not find any substance or merit in the allegations levelled by her, much less, commission of cognizable offences, then the petitioner be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Apex Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors 2013(4) RCR (Criminal) 979.**

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 18, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*