

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108 CRM-16005 and 16001 of 2021 in/and
CRM-M-28475 of 2020
Date of Decision:1.7.2021

Pardeep

.... Applicant-petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.R.K.Agnihotri, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

CRM-16005 of 2021

Vide this application, the applicant (petitioner in the accompanying petition) seeks to withdraw the petition itself, it being a petition by which he is seeking to be admitted to bail.

Though the application is not accompanied by any affidavit, that is stated to be because the applicant is in custody, with the application having been filed by the same learned counsel who has filed the petition itself.

Notice in the application.

Mr.Munish Sharma, learned AAG, Haryana, accepts notice at the asking of the court and obviously does not oppose the same.

CRM-16005 and 16001 of 2021 in/and
CRM-M-28475 of 2020

2

The application is consequently allowed.

CRM-M no.28475 of 2020

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CRM-16001 of 2021

Vide this application, advancement of the date of hearing in the
main petition is sought.

With the petition itself having been withdrawn, this application
has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

1.7.2021
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
No/Yes