

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7763-2021

Date of decision-18.08.2021

Pooja Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Through this criminal writ petition, the petitioners are praying for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from private respondent Nos.4 to 9, as petitioners have solemnized marriage on 14.08.2021 against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that on 14.08.2021, petitioners solemnized marriage against the wishes of private respondent Nos.4 to 9 (who are parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1). In this regard the photographs (Annexure P-4) are appended with the petition. When petitioners informed telephonically about their marriage to private respondents, they extended threats of dire consequences. Petitioners have apprehension that private respondents would cause harm to them and they would implicate them in a false criminal case, therefore, a representation dated 14.08.2021

(Annexure P-5) was given to Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala, but no action has been taken on the same. He prays that appropriate direction be issued.

A perusal of the representation submitted by the petitioners to respondent No.2 lacks material particulars and does not reveal the manner and mode of alleged threat extended to the petitioners. Apart from it, the apprehension of the petitioners that private respondents would implicate them in a false criminal case, cannot be construed as a threat as they too are free to avail their remedy in law.

Resultantly, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

18.08.2021
vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No